SUPREME COURT MINUTES THURSDAY, DECEMBER 13, 2001 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S102527 1st Dist. A096959 Div. 4	The People, Petitioner V. Superior Court of Marin County, Respondent Patrick Ghilotti, Real Party in Interest The parties are requested to file simultaneous letter briefs, on or before Monday, December 17, 2001, on the following issues: (1) Should the evaluations of Drs. Maram, Owen, and Arnold, as lodged with this court on December 6, 2001, as Exhibit 1 for Real Party in Interest, be filed and made part of the record on review in this court? (2) If the evaluations are filed and made part of the record, should they be filed under seal? (See Cal. Rules of Court, rules 12.5, 243.1.)
2nd Dist. B145446 Div. 4 S101793	People, Respondent v. Lucio Rodriguez, Appellant The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to and including January 29, 2002, or the date upon which review is either granted or denied.
2nd Dist. B153130 Div. 5 S101863	Adams Funeral Home, Petitioner v. Workers' Compensation Appeals Board, Sylvia Penman et al., Respondents The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to and including January 31, 2002, or the date upon which review is either granted or denied.
5th Dist.	In re Crystal J., a Person Coming Under the Juvenile Court Law

Crystal J., Appellant

v.

F037209 S101495

> The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to and including January 18, 2002, or the date upon which review is either granted or denied.

Kern County Department of Human Services, Respondent

S012945 People, Respondent

v.

Stanley Bernard Davis, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's reply brief is extended to and including February 19, 2002.

S029174 People, Respondent

v.

Donald Griffin, Appellant

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General George M. Hendrickson's representation that he anticipates filing the respondent's brief by April 12, 2002, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to February 8, 2002. After that date, only one further extension totaling 63 additional days is contemplated.

S074429 People, Respondent

v.

Drax Quartermain, Appellant

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy State Public Defender Wilbur H. Haines III's representation that he anticipates filing appellant's opening brief by the second week of April 2002, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to February 11, 2002. After that date, only one further extension totaling 60 additional days is contemplated.

S092813 In re Maureen McDermott

on

Habeas Corpus

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Verna Wefald's representation that she anticipates filing the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by February 8, 2002, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to January 9, 2002. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S099616 In re Randall Scott Cash

on

Habeas Corpus

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General John H. Deist's representation that he anticipates filing the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by January 9, 2002, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to January 9, 2002. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S101970 In re Richard Delmer Boyer

on

Habeas Corpus

Good cause appearing, Deputy Attorney General Lise Jacobson's request for an extension of time in which to file the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is granted to January 14, 2002. The court anticipates that after that date, only four further extensions totaling 120 additional days will be granted. Counsel is ordered to inform his or her assisting attorney or entity, if any, and any assisting attorney or entity of any separate counsel of record of this schedule, and take all steps necessary to meet this schedule.

2nd Dist. People B154067 v.

Div. 6 Albert Manazanares

The above-entitled matter, now pending in the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, is transferred from Division Six to Division One.